

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,542	02/10/2004	Christopher Powell DeBolt	554-1-002	3119
27469 Tjrope North &	7590 01/22/200 & Western	EXAM	IINER	
P.O. BOX 121	9	HYUN, PAUL SANG HWA		
SANDY, UT 8	84091-1219		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. 10/776,542 DEBOLT, CHRISTOPHER POWELL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PAUL S. HYUN 1797

Applicant(s)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

S	ta	tu	s

WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HEVENER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insiders of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SN(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to reply when the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ARAMONDED (30 U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any departed may be added the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any departed may be added the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any departed may be added the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any departed may be added to the communication of the commun
Status	
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2008. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b Some * c None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)∐ All	b) Some * c) None of:						
1	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/776,542

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on October 21, 2008 has been acknowledged. Claims 1-6 and 9-19 are currently pending. Applicant amended claims 6, 9, 10, 15 and 19.

The amendment to the Specification to correct a minor typographical error has been acknowledged.

Applicant's argument with respect to the rejection has been fully considered and it is persuasive. The Examiner agrees with Applicant that the holes disclosed by the DeBolt reference do not constitute a groove. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeBolt in view of Fritschi et al. (US 5,344,179).

The DeBolt reference submitted by Applicant in the IDS shows a microplate indexing device bearing the name Speedview®. Speedview® comprises a base platform designed to be attached to the stage of a microscope, and an indexing platform adapted to receive a microplate thereon. Each platform comprises a view-through

Art Unit: 1797

portion aligned with one another to enable viewing of the samples in the wells of the microplate by using a microscope. The indexing platform further comprises a pair of parallel rails that enable the microplate to slide in a single axis, and a column of indexing holes formed in each side of the view-through portion that interacts with a pair of indexing pins provided on the base platform to enable the indexing platform to move in a sequential manner in a direction that is transverse to the direction enabled by the rails. The indexing platform also comprises a plurality of labels, each label associated with an indexing hole. The device disclosed by DeBolt differs from the claimed invention in that DeBolt does not disclose the claimed guide groove. In addition, the indexing pins and the indexing holes of the Speedview® are situated on the base platform and the indexing platform, respectively, instead of the indexing platform and the base platform, respectively.

With respect to the guide groove, Fritschi et al. disclose an adjustable binding for a snowboard (see Figs. 15 and 16). The binding is secured to the snowboard by a pair of pins and it can be adjusted by affixing one of the pins 87 to one of a plurality of holes 91 disposed along an axis of the snowboard. The binding system further comprises pin 88 inserted into groove 90 disposed on the snowboard that maintains the binding in alignment with the snowboard while pin 87 is being adjusted. In light of the disclosure of Fritschi et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device disclosed by DeBolt with a guide groove that can maintain the base platform and the indexing platform in alignment while the indexing platform is moved in a sequential manner.

Art Unit: 1797

With respect to the arrangement of the pins and the holes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to switch the locations of the indexing pin and the indexing holes such that the indexing pin is provided on the indexing platform and the indexing holes are provided in the base platform. See *In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950) (holding that claims to a hydraulic power press which read on the prior art except with regard to the position of the starting switch were held unpatentable because shifting the position of the starting switch would not have modified the operation of the device.

Claims 4, 5, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeBolt in view of Casteel et al. (US 2001/0043004 A1).

Although Speedview® is configured to be secured to the stage of a microscope, the DeBolt reference does not explicitly disclose slip-resistant feet for securing the Speedview® to the stage of a microscope.

Casteel et al. disclose a piece of furniture comprising a textured bottom surface made from polyurethane (see [0041]). The reference discloses that the polyurethane surface reduces slippage. In light of the disclosure of Casteel et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to secure the Speedview® to the microscope stage using polyurethane slip-resistant feet.

Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeBolt in view of Ozeki (US 4,836,667). Application/Control Number: 10/776,542

Art Unit: 1797

Although Speedview® is configured to be secured to the stage of a microscope, the DeBolt reference does not explicitly disclose pins for securing the Speedview® to the stage of a microscope.

Ozeki discloses a microscope stage configured to receive a sample substrate thereon wherein the stage comprises pins 77 located at the comers for securing the sample substrate thereto, and the sample substrate comprises corresponding holes for receiving the pins (see claim 6 and Fig. 1B). In light of the disclosure of Ozeki, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the base platform of the Speedview® with holes for receiving pins protruding from the stage of a microscope.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection have been fully considered and they are persuasive. The Examiner agrees with Applicant that the holes disclosed by the DeBolt reference do not constitute a groove. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection have been made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL S. HYUN whose telephone number is (571)272-8559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,542 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Paul S Hyun/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797 /Jill Warden/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797